

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/787,848	JAROCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binta M. Robinson	1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Binta M. Robinson. *Binta 8/5/03* (3) _____
 (2) Chaba Hunter. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 05 August 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8,10,11 and 15-17.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner indicated to Mr. Hunter that claim 5 if made independent would be allowed if restricted to the elected restriction group. Claim 10 will be rejoined under in re Ochai when the compound claims are in condition for allowance, and if it is of the same scope as the allowable claims. The rejection of claims 12-14 made in the Final rejection will be withdrawn because claims 12-14 has been cancelled. The 112, first paragraph rejection of the moieties R14 and R15 would be overcome if R14 and R15 comes together to form a group of rings that are related fairly closely in structure and hence classification. Mr. Hunter agreed to remove the phrase "CH2 being replaced with O/ S()_n, NR8".

KMH
8/5/03